

This article was downloaded by:

On: 30 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Spectroscopy Letters

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597299>

Editorial

J. W. Robinson

To cite this Article Robinson, J. W. (1971) 'Editorial', *Spectroscopy Letters*, 4: 12, i

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00387017108064670

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00387017108064670>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

THE SPECIAL CASE OF RELATIVITY

Bertrand Russell called the Special Case of Relativity a mathematical gimmick. Other equally eminent mathematicians consider it to be one of man's greatest concepts. To most people it is an enigma. Although logically and mathematically we can sustain it, 'common sense' tells us that time, a man-made dimension, cannot depend on relative motion.

In several of the recent issues of SPECTROSCOPY LETTERS we have published articles which challenge the validity of the Special Case (we have rejected many others submitted by a virile group of non-believers).

The reaction has been surprising. We have received many personal and even anonymous letters on the subject, some applauded these publications, but the majority were scandalized at the effrontery of challenging this theory. None has submitted an article in rebuttal. The emotional content was high in all cases.

The truth needs no study and fears no questioning or challenges from disbelievers. If they are incorrect, the weakness of their position will be revealed and they too will become believers. If they are correct, then by all means let us hear from them.

Of all man's endeavors, science is the one field where emotion must not be allowed to sway our judgment. It is hoped that the Special Case has not become so holy that all questioning must be silenced.

J. W. Robinson
EDITOR